

DETERMINING HILBERT MODULAR FORMS USING SQUARE-FREE COEFFICIENTS

(Joint work with Rishabh Agnihotri)

36th Automorphic forms workshop, Oklahoma State University
May 2024

Krishnarjun Krishnamoorthy

Motivation

Motivation

What properties of a modular form uniquely characterizes it?

What properties of a modular form uniquely characterizes it?

Strong Multiplicity one : If two cuspidal Hecke eigenforms have the same Fourier coefficients at almost all primes, then they are indeed identical, further improved by D. Ramakrishnan

What properties of a modular form uniquely characterizes it?

Strong Multiplicity one : If two cuspidal Hecke eigenforms have the same Fourier coefficients at almost all primes, then they are indeed identical, further improved by D. Ramakrishnan

Luo-Ramakrishnan (1997 Inventiones) : Let f, g be normalized newforms in $S_{2k}(N), S_{2m}(N')$ respectively such that

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi_d\right) = L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes \chi_d\right)$$

for almost all primitive quadratic characters χ_d of conductor d prime to NN' , then $f = g$.

Effective versions have been subsequently obtained by R. Munshi, R.Munshi-J. Sengupta etc...

What properties of a modular form uniquely characterizes it?

Strong Multiplicity one : If two cuspidal Hecke eigenforms have the same Fourier coefficients at almost all primes, then they are indeed identical, further improved by D. Ramakrishnan

Luo-Ramakrishnan (1997 Inventiones) : Let f, g be normalized newforms in $S_{2k}(N), S_{2m}(N')$ respectively such that

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi_d\right) = L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes \chi_d\right)$$

for almost all primitive quadratic characters χ_d of conductor d prime to NN' , then $f = g$.

Effective versions have been subsequently obtained by R. Munshi, R. Munshi-J. Sengupta etc...

Kohnen (1992 Math. Ann.) : Let f, g be two non-zero Hecke eigenforms in $S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^+(4)$ with Fourier coefficients $a(n)$ and $b(n)$ respectively and suppose that $a(|D|) = b(|D|)$ for all fundamental discriminants D such that $(-1)^k D > 0$. Furthermore suppose that $\lambda_2 = \mu_2$, where λ_2 (respectively μ_2) denote the eigenvalues of f (respectively g) under the Hecke operator $T_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^+(4)$. Then $f = g$.

The Setup

Preliminaries

The Setup

Let F be a totally real number field with narrow class number 1 of degree r over \mathbb{Q} . We fix (once and for all) embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$ of F into the reals. For any σ_i and $\xi \in F$, it will also be convenient to denote $\sigma_i(\xi)$ as ξ_i .

The Setup

Let F be a totally real number field with narrow class number 1 of degree r over \mathbb{Q} . We fix (once and for all) embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$ of F into the reals. For any σ_i and $\xi \in F$, it will also be convenient to denote $\sigma_i(\xi)$ as ξ_i .

We embed the unit group U of \mathcal{O}_F inside $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ as

$$u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote the image as U .

The Setup

Let F be a totally real number field with narrow class number 1 of degree r over \mathbb{Q} . We fix (once and for all) embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$ of F into the reals. For any σ_i and $\xi \in F$, it will also be convenient to denote $\sigma_i(\xi)$ as ξ_i .

We embed the unit group U of \mathcal{O}_F inside $SL_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ as

$$u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote the image as U .

We adopt the following multi-index notation.

1. We shall embed $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ via its various embeddings $\{\sigma_i\}$. We shall also identify $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ via the diagonal embedding.
2. Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{C}^r is point-wise. For $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_r)$, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ we let $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the sum $\sum_i w_i z_i$.

Hilbert Modular forms

Preliminaries

Hilbert Modular forms

Preliminaries

There is a coherent theory of holomorphic modular forms “over F ”. They are called **Hilbert modular forms** and generalizations of classical modular forms (which are over \mathbb{Q}).

Hilbert Modular forms

There is a coherent theory of holomorphic modular forms “over F ”. They are called **Hilbert modular forms** and generalizations of classical modular forms (which are over \mathbb{Q}).

Associated to each holomorphic Hilbert modular form is a weight $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ of the form $\mathbf{m}_k + \delta_k(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$, where $\mathbf{m}_k \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\delta_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

Hilbert Modular forms

There is a coherent theory of holomorphic modular forms “over F ”. They are called **Hilbert modular forms** and generalizations of classical modular forms (which are over \mathbb{Q}).

Associated to each holomorphic Hilbert modular form is a weight $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ of the form $\mathbf{m}_k + \delta_k(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$, where $\mathbf{m}_k \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\delta_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

Hilbert modular forms have a “Fourier expansion” given by

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^+} \lambda_f(\xi) \xi^{\frac{\mathbf{k}-1}{2}} e^{(2-\delta_k)\pi i \xi \cdot \mathbf{z}}.$$

Hilbert Modular forms

There is a coherent theory of holomorphic modular forms “over F ”. They are called **Hilbert modular forms** and generalizations of classical modular forms (which are over \mathbb{Q}).

Associated to each holomorphic Hilbert modular form is a weight $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ of the form $\mathbf{m}_k + \delta_k(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$, where $\mathbf{m}_k \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\delta_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

Hilbert modular forms have a “Fourier expansion” given by

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^+} \lambda_f(\xi) \xi^{\frac{\mathbf{k}-1}{2}} e^{(2-\delta_k)\pi i \xi \cdot \mathbf{z}}.$$

We may associate the following L function to f ,

$$L(s, f) := \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F^+ / U^+} \frac{\lambda_f(\xi)}{\xi^s}$$

Shimura lifting

Preliminaries

Analogous to the case over \mathbb{Q} , there exists a well behaved family of Hecke operators indexed by integral ideals of F of the form $T_{\mathfrak{p}^{1+\delta_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$. We have the usual definition of Hecke eigenforms and we shall denote the eigenvalue as ω_n .

Shimura lifting

Analogous to the case over \mathbb{Q} , there exists a well behaved family of Hecke operators indexed by integral ideals of F of the form $T_{\mathfrak{p}^{1+\delta_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$. We have the usual definition of Hecke eigenforms and we shall denote the eigenvalue as $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Given a half integral weight Hecke eigenform f , and a square-free integer $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F$, there exists an integral weight Hecke eigenform f_{τ} , with Fourier coefficients given by $c(\xi, f_{\tau})$ such that the following formal identity holds,

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F/U} c(\xi, f_{\tau}) M(\xi) = \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F/U} a_f(\tau \xi^2) \xi^{-m} M(\xi) \right) \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F/U} \frac{\chi_{\tau}(\xi) M(\xi)}{N(\xi)} \right) = a_f(\tau) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \omega_{\mathfrak{p}} M(\mathfrak{p}) + \frac{M(\mathfrak{p}^2)}{N(\mathfrak{p})} \right)^{-1}$$

where $M(\xi) = M(\xi \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a formal symbol satisfying $M(\xi_1 \xi_2) = M(\xi_1) M(\xi_2)$.

Shimura lifting

Analogous to the case over \mathbb{Q} , there exists a well behaved family of Hecke operators indexed by integral ideals of F of the form $T_{\mathfrak{p}^{1+\delta_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$. We have the usual definition of Hecke eigenforms and we shall denote the eigenvalue as $\omega_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Given a half integral weight Hecke eigenform f , and a square-free integer $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F$, there exists an integral weight Hecke eigenform \mathfrak{f}_{τ} , with Fourier coefficients given by $c(\xi, \mathfrak{f}_{\tau})$ such that the following formal identity holds,

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F/U} c(\xi, \mathfrak{f}_{\tau}) M(\xi) = \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F/U} a_f(\tau \xi^2) \xi^{-m} M(\xi) \right) \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}_F/U} \frac{\chi_{\tau}(\xi) M(\xi)}{N(\xi)} \right) = a_f(\tau) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \omega_{\mathfrak{p}} M(\mathfrak{p}) + \frac{M(\mathfrak{p}^2)}{N(\mathfrak{p})} \right)^{-1}$$

where $M(\xi) = M(\xi \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a formal symbol satisfying $M(\xi_1 \xi_2) = M(\xi_1) M(\xi_2)$.

We observe that the form $\mathfrak{f}_{\tau}/a_f(\tau)$ is defined independent of τ as long as $a_f(\tau) \neq 0$. We shall refer to this form as the Shimura lift of f .

Main Theorem

Theorem

Suppose that f and g are half-integral weight cuspidal Hecke eigenforms of varying weights and levels. Denote the normalized Fourier coefficients of f, g as λ_f and λ_g respectively. Suppose there exists $\kappa \neq 0$ such that $\lambda_f(\tau) = \kappa\lambda_g(\tau)$ for every square-free $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F$. Then $f = \kappa g$.

An Intermediate Result

Theorem

Suppose f is a half integral weight cusp form of weight \mathbf{k} and level $n\mathcal{O}_F$. Then, $L(s, f)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, continues analytically to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation of the form $\Lambda(s, f) = \Lambda(1 - s, f|_{W'(n)})$ where

$$\Lambda(s, f) := D_F^s N(n)^{\frac{s}{2}} (2\pi)^{-rs} \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k_j - 1}{2}\right) L(s, f).$$

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s, f)}{\Lambda(s, g)}$.

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s, f)}{\Lambda(s, g)}$. We will show that $C(s) \equiv 1$.

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s,f)}{\Lambda(s,g)}$. We will show that $C(s) \equiv 1$.

Choose $M(\xi) = N(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}-2s}$ in the Shimura lift equation and rearrange, so that the resultant is an equality of L functions on some half plane.

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s, f)}{\Lambda(s, g)}$. We will show that $C(s) \equiv 1$.

Choose $M(\xi) = N(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}-2s}$ in the Shimura lift equation and rearrange, so that the resultant is an equality of L functions on some half plane.

$$L(s, f) = L(2s, f) \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F^+ / U^+} \frac{\lambda_f(\tau)}{N(\tau)^s} L\left(2s + \frac{1}{2}, \chi_\tau\right)^{-1} \right).$$

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s, f)}{\Lambda(s, g)}$. We will show that $C(s) \equiv 1$.

Choose $M(\xi) = N(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}-2s}$ in the Shimura lift equation and rearrange, so that the resultant is an equality of L functions on some half plane.

$$L(s, f) = L(2s, f) \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F^+ / U^+} \frac{\lambda_f(\tau)}{N(\tau)^s} L\left(2s + \frac{1}{2}, \chi_\tau\right)^{-1} \right).$$

Multiply by the extra factors on both sides to complete the L functions...

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s, f)}{\Lambda(s, g)}$. We will show that $C(s) \equiv 1$.

Choose $M(\xi) = N(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}-2s}$ in the Shimura lift equation and rearrange, so that the resultant is an equality of L functions on some half plane.

Multiply by the extra factors on both sides to complete the L functions...

$$L(s, f) = L(2s, \mathfrak{f}) \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F^+ / U^+} \frac{\lambda_f(\tau)}{N(\tau)^s} L\left(2s + \frac{1}{2}, \chi_\tau\right)^{-1} \right).$$

$$C(s) = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + m_i - \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + n_i - \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{L(2s, \mathfrak{f})}{L(2s, \mathfrak{g})}.$$

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s, f)}{\Lambda(s, g)}$. We will show that $C(s) \equiv 1$.

Choose $M(\xi) = N(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}-2s}$ in the Shimura lift equation and rearrange, so that the resultant is an equality of L functions on some half plane.

Multiply by the extra factors on both sides to complete the L functions...

... and apply the Legendre duplication formula

$$L(s, f) = L(2s, \mathfrak{f}) \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F^+ / U^+} \frac{\lambda_f(\tau)}{N(\tau)^s} L\left(2s + \frac{1}{2}, \chi_\tau\right)^{-1} \right).$$

$$C(s) = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + m_i - \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + n_i - \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{L(2s, \mathfrak{f})}{L(2s, \mathfrak{g})}.$$

Sketch of Proof

Given f, g as in the statement of the theorem, define $C(s) := \frac{\Lambda(s, f)}{\Lambda(s, g)}$. We will show that $C(s) \equiv 1$.

Choose $M(\xi) = N(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}-2s}$ in the Shimura lift equation and rearrange, so that the resultant is an equality of L functions on some half plane.

Multiply by the extra factors on both sides to complete the L functions...

... and apply the Legendre duplication formula

$$L(s, f) = L(2s, \mathfrak{f}) \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_F^+ / U^+} \frac{\lambda_f(\tau)}{N(\tau)^s} L\left(2s + \frac{1}{2}, \chi_\tau\right)^{-1} \right).$$

$$C(s) = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + m_i - \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + n_i - \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{L(2s, \mathfrak{f})}{L(2s, \mathfrak{g})}.$$

$$C(s) = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)} \right)^s 2^{n-m} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + n_i + \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s + m_i + \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \right) \frac{\Lambda(2s, \mathfrak{f})}{\Lambda(2s, \mathfrak{g})}.$$

Sketch of Proof

Use the fact that $C(s) = \frac{\Lambda(1-s, f|_{W'(n_f)})}{\Lambda(1-s, g|_{W'(n_g)})}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that for every $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $m_i \neq n_j$. Furthermore, we may suppose that m_1 is the minimum of $\{m_i, n_j\}_{i,j}$. Substituting $2s = m_1 + \frac{5}{2}$, we get

Sketch of Proof

Use the fact that $C(s) = \frac{\Lambda(1-s, f|_{W'}(n_f))}{\Lambda(1-s, g|_{W'}(n_g))}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that for every $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $m_i \neq$

n_j . Furthermore, we may suppose that m_1 is the minimum of $\{m_i, n_j\}_{i,j}$. Substituting $2s = m_1 + \frac{5}{2}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, g\right)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i - m_1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i - m_1}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, g\right)}.$$

Sketch of Proof

Use the fact that $C(s) = \frac{\Lambda(1-s, f|_{W'(n_f)})}{\Lambda(1-s, g|_{W'(n_g)})}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that for every $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $m_i \neq$

n_j . Furthermore, we may suppose that m_1 is the minimum of $\{m_i, n_j\}_{i,j}$. Substituting $2s = m_1 + \frac{5}{2}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, g\right)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i - m_1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i - m_1}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, g\right)}.$$

This leads to a contradiction unless the gamma factors cancel out, giving us...

Sketch of Proof

Use the fact that $C(s) = \frac{\Lambda(1-s, f|_{W'(n_f)})}{\Lambda(1-s, g|_{W'(n_g)})}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that for every $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $m_i \neq$

n_j . Furthermore, we may suppose that m_1 is the minimum of $\{m_i, n_j\}_{i,j}$. Substituting $2s = m_1 + \frac{5}{2}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, g\right)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i - m_1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i - m_1}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, g\right)}.$$

This leads to a contradiction unless the gamma factors cancel out, giving us...

$$C(s) = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{L(s, f)}{L(s, g)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{L(2s, f)}{L(2s, g)}.$$

Sketch of Proof

Use the fact that $C(s) = \frac{\Lambda(1-s, f|_{W'}(n_f))}{\Lambda(1-s, g|_{W'}(n_g))}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that for every $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $m_i \neq$

n_j . Furthermore, we may suppose that m_1 is the minimum of $\{m_i, n_j\}_{i,j}$. Substituting $2s = m_1 + \frac{5}{2}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, g\right)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i - m_1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i - m_1}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, g\right)}.$$

This leads to a contradiction unless the gamma factors cancel out, giving us...

$$C(s) = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{L(s, f)}{L(s, g)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{L(2s, f)}{L(2s, g)}.$$

Using the functional equation of the integral weight forms, and choosing s appropriately, we can show that $N(n_f) = N(n_g)$, $C(s) = C(s + 1)$. This in particular proves that $C(s)$ is an entire function.

Sketch of Proof

Use the fact that $C(s) = \frac{\Lambda(1-s, f|_{W'(n_f)})}{\Lambda(1-s, g|_{W'(n_g)})}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that for every $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $m_i \neq$

n_j . Furthermore, we may suppose that m_1 is the minimum of $\{m_i, n_j\}_{i,j}$. Substituting $2s = m_1 + \frac{5}{2}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{2m_1+5}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i + m_1 + 3}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(m_1 + \frac{5}{2}, g\right)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{8}} \left(\frac{N(n_g)}{N(n_f)}\right)^{\frac{-2m_1-1}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n_i - m_1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_i - m_1}{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, f\right)}{\Lambda\left(-\frac{1}{2} - m_1, g\right)}.$$

This leads to a contradiction unless the gamma factors cancel out, giving us...

$$C(s) = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{L(s, f)}{L(s, g)} = \left(\frac{N(n_f)}{N(n_g)}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{L(2s, f)}{L(2s, g)}.$$

Using the functional equation of the integral weight forms, and choosing s appropriately, we can show that $N(n_f) = N(n_g)$, $C(s) = C(s + 1)$. This in particular proves that $C(s)$ is an entire function.

Letting $s \rightarrow \infty$ along horizontal lines, we may show that $C(s)$ is uniformly bounded above by 4, completing the proof.

Remarks

Remarks on method of proof

Remarks on method of proof

1. Does not use the gory technicalities of analytic methods.

Remarks on method of proof

1. Does not use the gory technicalities of analytic methods.
2. Relies on the incompatibilities of the symmetries of functional equation of a half-integral weight modular form and its Shimura lift.

Remarks on method of proof

1. Does not use the gory technicalities of analytic methods.
2. Relies on the incompatibilities of the symmetries of functional equation of a half-integral weight modular form and its Shimura lift.

Remarks on assumptions

Remarks on method of proof

1. Does not use the gory technicalities of analytic methods.
2. Relies on the incompatibilities of the symmetries of functional equation of a half-integral weight modular form and its Shimura lift.

Remarks on assumptions

1. We can relax some assumptions if we assume the forms are of parallel weight.

Remarks on method of proof

1. Does not use the gory technicalities of analytic methods.
2. Relies on the incompatibilities of the symmetries of functional equation of a half-integral weight modular form and its Shimura lift.

Remarks on assumptions

1. We can relax some assumptions if we assume the forms are of parallel weight.

Further research

Remarks on method of proof

1. Does not use the gory technicalities of analytic methods.
2. Relies on the incompatibilities of the symmetries of functional equation of a half-integral weight modular form and its Shimura lift.

Remarks on assumptions

1. We can relax some assumptions if we assume the forms are of parallel weight.

Further research

1. Try to remove the restriction of narrow class number 1.
2. Refinements in terms of smaller subsets of square-free integers.
3. An effective version?

Primary Reference

Rishabh Agnihotri and K. K., “*Determination of Hilbert modular forms using square-free coefficients*”,
arXiv : 2403.16012

Other References

Ritabrata Munshi, Math. Ann., 2010

Ritabrata Munshi and Jyoti Sengupta, Math. Ann. 2015

Ritabrata Munshi and Jyoti Sengupta, Forum Mathematicum, 2015

Alia Hamieh and Naomi Tanabe, Transactions of AMS, 2017

...

Background on Hilbert Modular forms

Paul Garrett’s book titled “*Holomorphic Hilbert modular forms*”

Shimura’s Duke papers, 1978, 1983, 1993

Thank you

