

Nonvanishing of the Second Coefficient of General Hecke Polynomials

Erick Ross

May 18, 2024

Presentation Overview

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Table of Contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Given a Hecke operator $T_m(N, k, \chi)$ (where N is coprime to m and $k \geq 2$), a well-known open problem is to determine when the trace of this Hecke operator is vanishing. Recall that the trace of $T_m(N, k, \chi)$ comes from the first coefficient of its associated Hecke polynomial. So we consider a slightly different problem: when will the second coefficient of the $T_m(N, k, \chi)$ Hecke polynomial vanish? In this paper, we show that for any given m , the second coefficient of the $T_m(N, k, \chi)$ Hecke polynomial is nonvanishing for all but finitely many (N, k, χ) . We also compute the complete list of such (N, k) for T_3 with trivial character.

Table of Contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .
- $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k over $\Gamma_1(N)$ if:

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .
- $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k over $\Gamma_1(N)$ if:
 - f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .
- $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k over $\Gamma_1(N)$ if:
 - f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}
 - f is holomorphic at $i\infty$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .
- $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k over $\Gamma_1(N)$ if:
 - f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}
 - f is holomorphic at $i\infty$
 - $f(\gamma z)(cz + d)^{-k} = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{H}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N).$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .
- $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k over $\Gamma_1(N)$ if:
 - f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}
 - f is holomorphic at $i\infty$
 - $f(\gamma z)(cz + d)^{-k} = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{H}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N).$
- Such an f has character χ if $f(\gamma z)(cz + d)^{-k} = \chi(d)f(z)$
 $\forall z \in \mathbb{H}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N).$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .
- $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k over $\Gamma_1(N)$ if:
 - f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}
 - f is holomorphic at $i\infty$
 - $f(\gamma z)(cz + d)^{-k} = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{H}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N).$
- Such an f has character χ if $f(\gamma z)(cz + d)^{-k} = \chi(d)f(z)$
 $\forall z \in \mathbb{H}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N).$
- Such an f is a cuspidal if $f(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty$

Setup

- $\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- $\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$
- \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$
- $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H} via $\gamma z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$
- χ is a Dirichlet character modulo N .
- $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k over $\Gamma_1(N)$ if:
 - f is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}
 - f is holomorphic at $i\infty$
 - $f(\gamma z)(cz + d)^{-k} = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{H}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N).$
- Such an f has character χ if $f(\gamma z)(cz + d)^{-k} = \chi(d)f(z)$
 $\forall z \in \mathbb{H}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N).$
- Such an f is a cuspidal if $f(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty$
- We let $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ denote the space of all such cuspidal modular forms of weight k and level N with character χ .

Table of Contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators**
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Hecke Operators

- Recall the family of Hecke operators on this space:

$$T_m(N, k, \chi): S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \longrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$$
$$f \longmapsto m^{k/2-1} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash M^m} \chi(\beta_a) f|_k \beta$$

- Recall the family of Hecke operators on this space:

$$T_m(N, k, \chi): S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \longrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$$
$$f \longmapsto m^{k/2-1} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash M^m} \chi(\beta_a) f|_k \beta$$

- (Classical) Lehmer's conjecture states that for $k = 12$, $N = 1$ (with trivial character), $\text{Tr } T_m(1, 12)$ is nonvanishing for all m .

- Recall the family of Hecke operators on this space:

$$T_m(N, k, \chi): S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \longrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$$
$$f \longmapsto m^{k/2-1} \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash M^m} \chi(\beta_a) f|_k \beta$$

- (Classical) Lehmer's conjecture states that for $k = 12$, $N = 1$ (with trivial character), $\text{Tr } T_m(1, 12)$ is nonvanishing for all m .

-

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_0(1))$$

is the unique eigenvector for each $T_m(1, 12)$ (with eigenvalue $\tau(m)$).
Thus $\text{Tr } T_m(1, 12) = \tau(m)$

Coefficients of Hecke Polynomials

- In 2006, Jeremy Rouse proposed the “Generalized Lehmer’s Conjecture”: that $\text{Tr } T_m(N, k)$ is nonvanishing for any N coprime to m and $k = 12$ or $k \geq 16$.

Coefficients of Hecke Polynomials

- In 2006, Jeremy Rouse proposed the “Generalized Lehmer’s Conjecture”: that $\text{Tr } T_m(N, k)$ is nonvanishing for any N coprime to m and $k = 12$ or $k \geq 16$.
- Write the characteristic polynomial for $T_m(N, k)$ as $x^n - a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n a_n$. Then using this notation, the generalized Lehmer’s conjecture concerns the nonvanishing of $a_1(m, N, k)$.

Coefficients of Hecke Polynomials

- In 2006, Jeremy Rouse proposed the “Generalized Lehmer’s Conjecture”: that $\text{Tr } T_m(N, k)$ is nonvanishing for any N coprime to m and $k = 12$ or $k \geq 16$.
- Write the characteristic polynomial for $T_m(N, k)$ as $x^n - a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n a_n$. Then using this notation, the generalized Lehmer’s conjecture concerns the nonvanishing of $a_1(m, N, k)$.
- We instead consider a slightly different problem: the nonvanishing of $a_2(m, N, k)$. And in fact, we generalize slightly and consider $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$.

Coefficients of Hecke Polynomials

- In 2006, Jeremy Rouse proposed the “Generalized Lehmer’s Conjecture”: that $\text{Tr } T_m(N, k)$ is nonvanishing for any N coprime to m and $k = 12$ or $k \geq 16$.
- Write the characteristic polynomial for $T_m(N, k)$ as $x^n - a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n a_n$. Then using this notation, the generalized Lehmer’s conjecture concerns the nonvanishing of $a_1(m, N, k)$.
- We instead consider a slightly different problem: the nonvanishing of $a_2(m, N, k)$. And in fact, we generalize slightly and consider $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$.
- Last year, Clayton et. al. [1] dealt with the nonvanishing of $a_2(m, N, k)$ in the case of $N = 1$ and in the case of $m = 2$ (both with trivial character).

Coefficients of Hecke Polynomials

In this presentation, we will show the following theorem

Theorem 1

Let $m \geq 1$ be fixed and consider N coprime to m , $k \geq 2$, and χ a Dirichlet character such that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Then $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$ vanishes for only finitely many (N, k, χ) .

We will also compute the complete list of (N, k) (with trivial character) for which $a_2(3, N, k)$ vanishes.

Table of Contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

A formula for $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$

First, we compute a formula for $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$ in terms of traces.

Formula 2

For convenience of notation, let T_m denote $T_m(N, k, \chi)$. Then

$$a_2(m, N, k, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 - \sum_{d|m} \chi(d) d^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_{m^2/d^2} \right]$$

A formula for $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$

First, we compute a formula for $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$ in terms of traces.

Formula 2

For convenience of notation, let T_m denote $T_m(N, k, \chi)$. Then

$$a_2(m, N, k, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 - \sum_{d|m} \chi(d) d^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_{m^2/d^2} \right]$$

Proof.

Let $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of T_m . Then by definition of the characteristic polynomial, we have

$$a_2(m, N, k, \chi) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \lambda_i \lambda_j$$

Proof.

But note

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \lambda_i \lambda_j &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i \right)^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(\operatorname{Tr} T_m)^2 - \operatorname{Tr} T_m^2]\end{aligned}$$

And recall how the Hecke operators compose:

$$T_m^2 = \sum_{d|m} \chi(d) d^{k-1} T_{m^2/d^2}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}a_2(m, N, k, \chi) &= \frac{1}{2} [(\operatorname{Tr} T_m)^2 - \operatorname{Tr} T_m^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\operatorname{Tr} T_m)^2 - \sum_{d|m} \chi(d) d^{k-1} \operatorname{Tr} T_{m^2/d^2} \right]\end{aligned}$$

The Eichler-Selberg trace formula

Let $m \geq 1$, $N \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$, and χ a Dirichlet character modulo N such that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr} T_m(N, k, \chi) = A_{1,m} - A_{2,m} - A_{3,m} + A_{4,m} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$A_{1,m} = \chi(\sqrt{m}) \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k/2-1} \quad (4.2)$$

$$A_{2,m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t^2 < 4m} U_{k-1}(t, m) \sum_n h_w \left(\frac{t^2 - 4m}{n^2} \right) \mu(t, n, m) \quad (4.3)$$

$$A_{3,m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|m} \min(d, m/d)^{k-1} \sum_{\tau} \phi(\mathrm{gcd}(\tau, N/\tau)) \chi(y) \quad (4.4)$$

$$A_{4,m} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{c|m \\ (N, m/c)=1}} c & \text{if } k = 2 \text{ and } \chi = \chi_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 2 \text{ or } \chi \neq \chi_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

- $\chi(\sqrt{m})$ is interpreted as 0 if $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\psi(N) = [\mathrm{SL} 2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)] = N \prod_{p|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$
- The outer summation in $A_{2,m}$ runs over all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t^2 < 4m$. Note that the terms corresponding to $t = t_0$ and $t = -t_0$ coincide.
- $U_{k-1}(t, m)$ denotes the Lucas sequence of the first kind. In particular, $U_{k-1}(t, m) = \frac{\rho^{k-1} - \bar{\rho}^{k-1}}{\rho - \bar{\rho}}$ where $\rho, \bar{\rho}$ are the two roots of the polynomial $X^2 - tX + m$.
- The inner summation in $A_{2,m}$ runs through all positive integers n such that $n^2 \mid (t^2 - 4m)$, and $\frac{t^2 - 4m}{n^2} \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$.
- $h_w \left(\frac{t^2 - 4m}{n^2} \right)$ is the weighted class number of the imaginary quadratic order with discriminant $\frac{t^2 - 4m}{n^2}$. This is the usual class number, divided by 2 (respectively 3) if the discriminant is -4 (respectively -3).

- $\mu(t, n, m) = \frac{\psi(N)}{\psi(N/N_n)} \sum'_{c \bmod N} \chi(c)$, where $N_n = \gcd(N, n)$, and the summation runs through all elements c of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ which lift to solutions of $c^2 - tc + m \equiv 0 \pmod{NN_n}$.
- The outer summation for $A_{3,m}$ runs over all positive divisors d of m . Note that the terms corresponding to $d = d_0$ and $d = m/d_0$ coincide.
- The inner summation for $A_{3,m}$ runs over all positive divisors τ of N such that $\gcd(\tau, N/\tau)$ divides $\gcd(N/N_\chi, d - m/d)$. Here N_χ is the conductor of χ .
- ϕ is the Euler totient function.
- y is the unique integer modulo $\text{lcm}(\tau, N/\tau)$ determined by the congruences $y \equiv d \pmod{\tau}$ and $y \equiv \frac{m}{d} \pmod{\frac{N}{\tau}}$.
- χ_0 denotes the trivial character modulo N .
- Throughout, remember that χ is a character modulo N , so $\chi(a) = 0$ if $\gcd(a, N) > 1$ (even in the trivial character case).

Table of Contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas**
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Lemma 3

Recall that $\psi(N) = N \prod_{p|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$, and let $\omega(N)$ denote the number of distinct prime divisors of N . Then let

$$\theta_1(N) := \frac{2^{\omega(N)} \sqrt{N}}{\psi(N)}$$

$$\theta_2(N) := \frac{(2^{\omega(N)})^2}{\psi(N)}$$

$$\theta_3(N) := \frac{2^{\omega(N)}}{\psi(N)}$$

Then each $\theta_i(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. And in particular, we have

$N \geq$	1	43	571	8,800	150,000
$\theta_1(N) \leq$	1.00	0.465	0.257	0.133	0.0607
$\theta_2(N) \leq$	1.34	0.445	0.149	0.0424	0.00941
$\theta_3(N) \leq$	1.00	0.0556	0.00926	0.00133	0.000147

Proof.

Note that every prime other than 2, 3, 5, 7 is ≥ 8 . Thus $\omega(N) \leq 4 + \log_8(N)$ and so $2^{\omega(N)} \leq 2^{4 + \log_8(N)} \leq 16 \cdot N^{1/3} = O(N^{1/3})$. Thus since $\psi(N) \geq N$, we have that

$$\theta_1(N) = \frac{2^{\omega(N)} \sqrt{N}}{\psi(N)} = O\left(\frac{N^{5/6}}{N}\right) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\theta_2(N) = \frac{(2^{\omega(N)})^2}{\psi(N)} = O\left(\frac{N^{2/3}}{N}\right) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\theta_3(N) = \frac{2^{\omega(N)}}{\psi(N)} = O\left(\frac{N^{1/3}}{N}\right) \rightarrow 0$$



Lemma 4

Suppose that $4m - t^2 > 0$. Then

$$|U_{k-1}(t, m) \cdot \mu(t, n, m)| \leq 2\psi(n)2^{\omega(N)}m^{(k-1)/2}$$

Lemma 5

Let $\Sigma(N, m, d)$ denote the inner summation for $A_{3,m}$, i.e.

$$\Sigma(N, m, d) = \sum_{\tau}' \phi(\gcd(\tau, N/\tau)) \chi(y)$$

Then

$$|\Sigma(N, m, d)| \leq \begin{cases} \left|d - \frac{m}{d}\right| \cdot 2^{\omega(N)} & \text{if } d \neq \sqrt{m}, \\ \sqrt{N} \cdot 2^{\omega(N)} & \text{in general} \end{cases}$$

Table of Contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Size of $\text{Tr } T_m$

In this section, we will show the main theorem. We split into two cases: when $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and when $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 6

Let m be fixed where $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then for all N coprime to m , $k \geq 2$, and χ a Dirichlet character modulo N with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$, we have

$$\text{Tr } T_m(N, k, \chi) = O(2^{\omega(N)} m^{k/2})$$

Size of $\text{Tr } T_m$

In this section, we will show the main theorem. We split into two cases: when $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and when $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 6

Let m be fixed where $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then for all N coprime to m , $k \geq 2$, and χ a Dirichlet character modulo N with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$, we have

$$\text{Tr } T_m(N, k, \chi) = O(2^{\omega(N)} m^{k/2})$$

Lemma 7

Let m be fixed where $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for all N coprime to m , $k \geq 2$, and χ a Dirichlet character modulo N with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$, we have

$$\text{Tr } T_m(N, k, \chi) = \chi(\sqrt{m}) \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k/2-1} + O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^{k/2})$$

The main theorem when $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

Theorem 8

Let m be fixed where $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and consider N coprime to m , $k \geq 2$, and χ a Dirichlet character modulo N with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Then $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$ is nonvanishing (and in particular negative if $\chi = \chi_0$) for all but finitely many (N, k, χ) .

The main theorem when $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

We have

$$a_2(m, N, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 - \sum_{d|m} d^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_{m^2/d^2} \right]$$

Now, note every term inside the sum has m^2/d^2 a perfect square. Thus for each term in the sum, we have by Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} d^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_{m^2/d^2} &= \dots \\ &= d \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k-2} + O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^k) \end{aligned}$$

The main theorem when $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_2(m, N, k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 - \sum_{d|m} d^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_{m^2/d^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 - \sum_{d|m} \left[d \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k-2} + O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^k) \right] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 - \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k-2} \sigma_1(m) + O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^k) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The main theorem when $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

Then since $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we apply Lemma 6 to $\text{Tr } T_m$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & a_2(m, N, k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[O(2^{\omega(N)} m^{k/2})^2 - \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k-2} \sigma_1(m) - O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^k) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k-2} \sigma_1(m) + O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^k) \right] \\ &= \frac{\psi(N) m^{k-2} \sigma_1(m)}{2} \left[-\frac{k-1}{12} + O(\theta_1(N)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

This means that $a_2(m, N, k)$ will be negative for all but finitely many (N, k) . □

The main theorem when $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$

Theorem 9

Let m be fixed where $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and consider $N \geq 1$ coprime to m , $k \geq 2$, and χ a Dirichlet character modulo N with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Then $a_2(m, N, k, \chi)$ is nonvanishing (and in particular positive if $\chi = \chi_0$) for all but finitely many (N, k, χ) .

Proof.

Just like last time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_2(m, N, k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 - \frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k-2} \sigma_1(m) + O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^k) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 + O(k \psi(N) m^k) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The main theorem when $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

Then since $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we apply Lemma 7 to $\text{Tr } T_m$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 &= \left(\frac{k-1}{12} \psi(N) m^{k/2-1} + O(\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^{k/2}) \right)^2 \\ &= \dots \\ &= \frac{(k-1)^2}{144} \psi(N)^2 m^{k-2} + O(k\psi(N)\sqrt{N} 2^{\omega(N)} m^k)\end{aligned}$$

The main theorem when $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof.

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(m, N, k) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_m)^2 + O(k\psi(N)m^k) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(k-1)^2}{144} \psi(N)^2 m^{k-2} + O(k\psi(N)\sqrt{N}2^{\omega(N)}m^k) \right] \\ &= \frac{k\psi(N)^2 m^{k-2}}{2} \left[\frac{(k-1)^2}{144k} + O(\theta_1(N)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

This means that $a_2(m, N, k)$ will be positive for all but finitely many (N, k) . □

Table of Contents

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Setup
- 3 Hecke Operators
- 4 The a_2 coefficient in terms of traces
- 5 Some Lemmas
- 6 Proof of the Main Theorem
- 7 Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

The bounds given here are very computable. In particular we go through the details for $m = 3$ to compute the specific constants hiding in the $O(\theta_1(N))$ term from theorem 8. This allows us to compute all the (N, k) (using trivial character) for which $a_2(3, N, k)$ vanishes.

Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

The bounds given here are very computable. In particular we go through the details for $m = 3$ to compute the specific constants hiding in the $O(\theta_1(N))$ term from theorem 8. This allows us to compute all the (N, k) (using trivial character) for which $a_2(3, N, k)$ vanishes.

Note by Formula 2, we have

$$a_2(3, N, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_3)^2 - \text{Tr } T_9 - 3^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_1 \right]$$

Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

The bounds given here are very computable. In particular we go through the details for $m = 3$ to compute the specific constants hiding in the $O(\theta_1(N))$ term from theorem 8. This allows us to compute all the (N, k) (using trivial character) for which $a_2(3, N, k)$ vanishes.

Note by Formula 2, we have

$$a_2(3, N, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_3)^2 - \text{Tr } T_9 - 3^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_1 \right]$$

So we give three Lemmas to get bounds on the three terms in the above formula.

Lemma 10

$$\frac{(\operatorname{Tr} T_3)^2}{\psi(N)3^k} \leq \frac{448 + 160\sqrt{3}}{27} \theta_2(N)$$

Lemma 11

$$\left| \frac{\text{Tr } T_9 - A_{1,9}}{\psi(N)3^k} \right| \leq \frac{183}{18} \theta_3(N) + \frac{1}{6} \theta_1(N) \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$A_{1,9} = \frac{k-1}{108} \psi(N)3^k$$

Lemma 12

$$\left| \frac{\text{Tr } T_1 - A_{1,1}}{\psi(N)} \right| \leq \frac{5}{3}\theta_3(N) + \frac{1}{2}\theta_1(N)$$

where

$$A_{1,1} = \frac{k-1}{12}\psi(N)$$

Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Theorem 13

Consider N coprime to 3 and $k \geq 2$ even. Then $a_2(3, N, k)$ vanishes only for the values of (N, k) given in Table 14.

Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Theorem 13

Consider N coprime to 3 and $k \geq 2$ even. Then $a_2(3, N, k)$ vanishes only for the values of (N, k) given in Table 14.

Proof.

By Formula 2, we have

$$a_2(3, N, k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\text{Tr } T_3)^2 - \text{Tr } T_9 - 3^{k-1} \text{Tr } T_1 \right] \quad (7.2)$$

$$= \dots \quad (7.3)$$

$$= \frac{\psi(N)3^k}{2} \left[-\frac{k-1}{27} + E(N, k) \right] \quad (7.4)$$

Nonvanishing of $a_2(3, N, k)$

Proof.

where $E(N, k)$ denotes the three error terms

$$|E(N, k)| = \left| \frac{(\text{Tr } T_3)^2}{\psi(N)3^k} - \left(\frac{\text{Tr } T_9 - A_{1,9}}{\psi(N)3^k} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\text{Tr } T_1 - A_{1,1}}{\psi(N)} \right) \right| \quad (7.5)$$

$$\leq \dots \quad (7.6)$$

$$= \frac{448 + 160\sqrt{3}}{27} \theta_2(N) + \frac{193}{18} \theta_3(N) + \frac{1}{3} \theta_1(N) \quad (7.7)$$

Then using the numerical θ_i bounds given in Lemma 3, this shows that $a_2(3, N, k) < 0$ for $N \geq 63,000,000, k \geq 2$; $N \geq 2,700,000, k \geq 4$; $N \geq 150,000, k \geq 10$; $N \geq 8,800, k \geq 34$; $N \geq 571, k \geq 116$; $N \geq 43, k \geq 344$; and $N \geq 1, k \geq 1272$.

We then check the finite number of cases left by computer, which yields the complete list given in Table 14. □

Table of (N, k) with vanishing a_2 coefficient

Table 14

<i>The values of (N, k) for which $a_2(3, N, k)$ vanishes</i>				
(1, 2)	(1, 4)	(1, 6)	(1, 8)	(1, 10)
(1, 12)	(1, 14)	(1, 16)	(1, 18)	(1, 20)
(1, 22)	(1, 26)	(2, 2)	(2, 4)	(2, 6)
(2, 8)	(2, 10)	(4, 2)	(4, 4)	(4, 6)
(5, 2)	(5, 4)	(5, 6)	(7, 2)	(7, 4)
(8, 2)	(8, 4)	(10, 2)	(11, 2)	(13, 2)
(14, 2)	(16, 2)	(17, 2)	(19, 2)	(20, 2)
(25, 2)	(32, 2)	(34, 2)	(44, 2)	(49, 2)
(56, 2)	(64, 2)	(80, 2)	(140, 2)	(280, 2)

Conjecture 15 ([1, Conjecture 5.1])

Write the characteristic polynomial for T_m over $S_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ as $x^n - a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n a_n$. Then $a_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.



Archer Clayton, Helen Dai, Tianyu Ni, Hui Xue, and Jake Zummo.
Nonvanishing of second coefficients of Hecke polynomials.
J. Number Theory, 262:186–221, 2024.



Henri Cohen and Fredrik Strömberg.
Modular forms: A classical approach, volume 179 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*.
American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.



Andrew Knightly and Charles Li.
Traces of Hecke operators, volume 133 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*.
American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.



Jeremy Rouse.
Vanishing and non-vanishing of traces of Hecke operators.
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 358(10):4637–4651, 2006.



Jean-Pierre Serre.
Répartition asymptotique des valeurs propres de l'opérateur de Hecke T_p .